A new millipede (Diplopoda, Helminthomorpha) from the Middle Triassic Luoping biota of Yunnan, Southwest China
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Abstract.—A new helminthomorph millipede, Sinosoma luopingense new genus new species, from the Triassic Luoping biota of China, has 39 body segments, metazonites with lateral swellings that bear a pair of postero-lateral pits (?insertion pits for spine bases), and sternites that are unfused to the pleurotergites. This millipede shares a number of characters with nematophoran diplopods, but lacks the prominent dorsal suture characteristic of that order. Other “millipede” material from the biota is more problematic. Millipedes are a rare part of the Luoping biota, which is composed mainly of marine and near-shore organisms. Occurrences of fossil millipedes are exceedingly rare in Triassic rocks worldwide, comprising specimens from Europe, Asia, and Africa, and consisting of juliform millipedes and millipedes that are either nematophorans or forms very similar to nematophorans.

Introduction

Millipedes are a widely distributed group of terrestrial arthropods (Shelley and Golovatch, 2011; Enghoff, 2015; Minelli, 2015). But, because of their terrestrial habitus, millipedes are rarely preserved as fossils. Fossil millipedes are mainly documented from the Paleozoic because they are relatively abundant in the extensive coal-forest deposits of the upper Carboniferous; they are also well documented in amber deposits, particularly those of the Cenozoic. Only rarely have they been described from the Mesozoic (Dzik, 1981; Jell, 1983; Duy-Jacquemin and Azar, 2004; Rasnitsyn and Golovatch, 2004; Shear et al., 2009; Shear and Edgecombe, 2010; Edgecombe, 2015; Liu et al., 2017). Mesozoic occurrences include two described genera from the Triassic (France and central Siberia), one genus from the Jurassic of Australia, and six genera from the Cretaceous (Mexico, Europe, Lebanon, Mongolia, and Myanmar). Additional undescribed or poorly preserved millipede material is known from the Triassic of Africa and the Cretaceous of Europe.

Asian Mesozoic occurrences are from the Cretaceous of Mongolia (Dzik, 1975), Myanmar (Cockerell, 1917; Grimaldi et al., 2002; Rasnitsyn and Golovatch, 2004; Carlson, 2007; Liu et al., 2017), China (Huang, 2015, 2016), and the Triassic of Siberia (Dzik, 1981) and China (Hu et al., 2011). Fossil millipedes have been noted from three Mesozoic localities in China: Yanliao District (the famous fossil localities of Daohugou Biota) in Liaoning Province, Inner Mongolia, and Luoping County in Yunnan Province. Jurassic myriapods (including millipedes) have been noted from Daohugou (Huang, 2015, 2016), but they have been neither illustrated nor described, also a mid-Jurassic millipede is known from Inner Mongolia (D.Y. Huang, personal communication, 2017), but is yet to be illustrated or described. Hu et al. (2011, p. 2279, fig. 5j) have noted the presence of millipedes in the Luoping fauna, illustrating one of those specimens.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the specimen illustrated by Hu et al. (2011, p. 2279, fig. 5), to briefly document another specimen with possible millipede affinity in the Luoping biota, and to provide brief comments on other Mesozoic millipedes.

The biota and its geological setting

The Luoping fossil Lagerstätte is located in Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwest China (Fig. 1). This is in the southwestern part of the Yangtze Platform between the Nanpanjiang Basin and the Yangtze Platform (Enos et al., 2006). The Luoping biota is part of Member II of the Guanling Formation, of the Anisian stage (Middle Triassic), based upon conodont zonation (Huang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009). The biota comprises a mixture of marine and terrestrial organisms (Hu et al., 2011) deposited in a marine environment. It includes typical marine reptiles, fishes, echinoderms (crinoids, sea urchins, sea cucumbers, and sea stars), bivalves, gastropods, belemnoids, ammonoids, brachiopods, conodonts, foraminifers, and marine and nearshore arthropods. Among the thousands of fossils from the Luoping biota, arthropods, including lobsters, shrimp,
mysidaceans, isopods, cycloids, conchostracans, ostracods, horseshoe crabs, and millipedes, comprise more than 90% of the biota (Hu et al., 2011, p. 2278, fig. 4).

Sediments of the Luoping biota comprise five units (Fig. 1). The lower thick-bedded unit (bed 0) consists of muddy and dolomitic limestone, mainly containing bivalves and gastropods. This is overlain by the lower thin-bedded unit (beds 1–68), which consists of laminar micritic limestone, containing abundant fossil fish, marine reptiles, arthropods, echinoderms, plants, etc., in rocks bearing siliceous concretions and bentonite layers. Above this lies the middle thick-bedded unit (beds 69–74), consisting of strongly bioturbated bioclastic limestone, with marine reptiles, bivalves, and gastropods. The upper thin-bedded sequence (beds 75–145) consists of laminar argillaceous limestones, containing abundant fossil fish, marine reptiles, and arthropods (Hu et al., 2011). These beds typically exhibit sedimentary characters of slump structures, indicating soft-sediment deformation. The upper thick-bedded sequence (bed 146) consists of thick massive limestone beds with bivalves and gastropod fragments, and rare marine reptile remains.

Materials and methods

Materials.—The main specimen described (LPI-61593) is preserved in mostly dorsal view, somewhat rotated to the left, providing lateral views of sternites and legs on some sections of the right side of the millipede. This has also resulted in the left-hand side of the millipede being folded over to a small degree. The body is arrayed in a loose, sinuous, relaxed pattern. The specimen has been compressed, with lines of breakage differing on different portions of the body. Some segments are slightly

Figure 1. Location map and stratigraphic occurrence of the millipede horizon and other fossils in Luoping County of east Yunnan Province, southwest China. Occurrence and range of the conodont *Niceraella kockeli* (Tatge, 1956a, b) is indicated by the black boxes and line. Numbered fossils, from 1 to 17, are: 1, marine vertebrates; 2, ichthyosaurs; 3, fishes; 4, crustaceans and limulids; 5, sea stars; 6, holothurian sclerites; 7, crinoids; 8, bivalves; 9, gastropods; 10, brachiopods; 11, ammonites; 12, other cephalopods; 13, foraminiferans; 14, ostracodes; 15, conodonts; 16, plant fragments; 17, marine vertebrate fragments.
Methods.—The specimens were photographed with a Leica M125 stereo-microscope using a Leica DFC295 digital camera in the Chengdu Center of China Geological Survey (CDCGS), Chengdu, China, and photographed in more detail by a VHZ20R digital camera with the Keyence three-dimensional imaging system in the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology (IVPP) of Beijing. Micro-ornamentation was scanned by scanning electron microscope (SEM) using the Zeiss EVO-MA25 in IVPP.

Repository and institutional abbreviation.—Specimens are deposited at the Chengdu Center of China Geological Survey (CDCGS), (LPI = Luoping invertebrates).

Systematic paleontology

Class Diplopoda Blainville in Gervais, 1844
Subclass Helminthomorpha Pocock, 1887
Superorder, Order, and Family incertae sedis

Remarks.—Characters present do not allow confident assignment to any extant or extinct order of millipedes, but the segment number (39), ring structure (sternites not fused to the pleurotergites), large head, simple collum that does not cover the head, and presence of a pair of pits on the lateral swellings of the metazonites that may have been insertion points for setae, suggest a nematophoran affinity, although a colobognath affinity cannot be ruled out. A distinct midline is lacking however; therefore this taxon is not assigned to the Nematothora. A nematophoran, specifically calipodidan, affinity has been suggested for Hannibaliulus wilsoniae Shear, Selden, and Gall, 2009 (Shear et al., 2009; Edgecombe, 2015, p. 347), which is a form that bears some resemblance to Sinosoma n. gen.

Genus Sinosoma Huang and Hannibal new genus

Type species.—Sinosoma luopingense new genus new species, by original designation and monotypy.

Diagnosis.—Millipedes with 39 body segments, including a small collum. Metazonites divided by grooves into three transverse parts that are flanked laterally by narrow, suboval swellings bearing a pair of posterolateral pits (?spine bases). Sternites free. Legs of medium length, stout.

Etymology.—The generic name is derived from the stem Sino-, meaning “pertaining to China,” in reference to the country where the specimen was collected. The ending -soma (Greek for body [soma, neuter]) is a common ending for millipedes that has the advantage of not implying membership in a particular millipede order.

Occurrence.—The upper thin-bedded unit of the Luoping biota, Member II of the Guanling Formation, Anisian, Middle Triassic. Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwest China.

Remarks.—Sinosoma n. gen. is similar to Hannibaliulus Shear, Selden, and Gall, 2009, which also has an elongate body, a large number of the body segments, lateral features that might be interpreted as swellings, and a small collum that does not cover its head. Segment number differs (39 versus up to 44) as well as the number of transverse grooves on the metazonites (two versus one). Sinosoma n. gen. also bears a pair of distinctive posterolateral pits on its swellings, a feature that Hannibaliulus lacks.

Sinosoma luopingense Huang and Hannibal new species
Figures 2, 3

Holotype.—Specimen LPI-61593.

Diagnosis.—As for genus.

Occurrence.—The upper thin-bedded unit of the Luoping biota, Member II of the Guanling Formation, Anisian, Middle Triassic. Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwest China.

Description.—Medium-sized millipede, ~19 mm long, elongate with 39 segments, inclusive of collum and telson (epiproct). Most segments more-or-less uniform in width, widest midbody segments ~1 mm wide as preserved, reconstructed width slightly more than 1 mm; first few body segments at anterior tapering anteriad, last few segments tapering posteriad. Prozonites well exposed, exposed length up to 0.18 mm, metazonites up to 0.38 mm long. Ratio of exposed length of prozonites (excluding anteriormost and posteriormost segments) to length of metazonites ranging from ~34% to 50%, indicating that prozonites were probably well exposed in life (although not quite as exposed in the fossil, since the specimen is relaxed). Penultimate segment reduced in length.

Head medium sized, triangular in shape as preserved, but difficult to interpret because of twisting from dorsal position and crushing (oval missing area on right side is the result of preparation). Parts of gnathocliarium(?) exposed below head, slightly displaced. Antennae swollen, club-shaped, at least five antennomers preserved on ?right antenna (Fig. 3.1), the third and fourth of which are the largest. Collum small, not covering head, quadrangular, slightly smaller than metazonite of prozonite.
following segment. Prozonites well exposed, depressed, with granular ornamentation. Metazonites raised, divided into three subequal parts by two transverse grooves.

Indication of midline lacking (longitudinal cracks on dorsal surface are not aligned along midline).

Longitudinal groove divides short lateral swellings (?paranota) from remainder of pleurotergite dorsally. Anteriormost and posteriormost part of articulation of swellings with remainder of pleurotergite somewhat invaginated. Lateral swellings extend short distance from sides of pleurotergites. Swellings elongately suboval, covered with small tubercles. Pair of prominent pits (?insertion points for setae), located on posterolateral corner of dorsal surface of swellings. Pits present at least on segments 7, 8, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 28, 29, and 31. Pits in each pair obliquely oriented on an irregular raised area. Ozopores apparently lacking. Epiproct (telson) small, longer than segment preceding it, but subequal in length to segment anterior of that segment. Posterior termination of epiproct smoothly rounded, lacking any projections. Surface coarsely granulate.

Anal valves not seen (covered by epiproct). Surface of prozonites and metazonites bearing very small granules, with relatively larger granules on preanal segment.

Stermites incompletely seen, but disarticulated from pleurotergites, indicating that they were separate in life.

Legs stout, length subequal to the length of body segments (prozone plus metazonite). Coxae and second segment (presumed prefemur) wide, prefemur longest, with remainder of segments decreasing in length. Two segments preceding epiproct apodous.

**Etymology.**—The trivial species name is an adjective denoting the location from which the specimen was collected. The gender is neutral.

**Remarks.**—The head area is difficult to interpret (Fig. 3.1). It is compressed and there has been some displacement due to compression. Preparation has also removed parts of the integument. There is a possibility that the two antennae may overlap somewhat.

The lateral swellings described here as possible paranota could also be conceivably interpreted as ventral portions of pleurotergites, or even as pleurites. Such an interpretation would be unlikely, however, because pleurites and ventralparts of pleurotergites of fossil millipedes are typically seen only when specimens are preserved in ventral or lateral view (e.g., Wilson and Hannibal, 2005, figs. 2, 3, 5, 6). Furthermore, there is no breakage and displacement along the groove that divides the swellings from the pleurotergites in Sinosoma n. gen. as there is in Pleurojulus. Such breakage has contributed to a longstanding discussion of the nature of the pleurites of Pleurojulus, although Wilson and Hannibal (2005) have provided detailed evidence for there being separate pleurites in that taxon. To complicate this picture, paranota have also been confused with pleurites, as with the paranota of “Pleurojulus steuri” Schneider and Werneberg, 1998 described as pleurites (Wilson and Hannibal, 2005, p. 1106).

Paranota as interpreted here also could be interpreted as the ventral portion of the pleurotergites because what appears to be a border is present on the lateral side of some metazonites. The inflation of the lateral part of the tergites (that is what are described as swellings here), however, argues against such an interpretation.

The paired pits on the posterolateral corners of the lateral swellings of the metazonites are here interpreted as spine bases, in part because of being paired. They do not show any internal structure suggestive of being ozopores. There is a history of confusion between ozopores and spine bases in fossil millipedes, and ozopores have been incorrectly described as spine bases in both fossil euphoberiids and palaeosomatids (Hannibal and Krzeminski, 2005, p. 209). Still, it would be unusual for ozopores to be paired, as are the pits of Sinosoma n. gen.

**Comparisons to other taxa.**—This specimen is similar in a number of ways to the Triassic form Hannibalilulus wilsonae, from the Grès à Voltzia (Anisian) of eastern France, which Shear et al. (2009) assigned tentatively to the Callipodida. Edgecombe (2015, p. 347) subsequently noted the lack of features that would confirm assignment to that order with certainty. Hannibalilulus wilsonae has up to 44 segments, a small collum, and probably free sternites. The epiproct of H. wilsonae is also similar in shape to, but shorter than, that of Sinosoma n. gen. Shear et al. (2009) also noted a general resemblance of H. wilsonae to the Paleozoic genus Pleurojulus. This is in great part due to the presence of crushed ventral flanges on the former, which resemble the free pleurites of the latter.

Division of the dorsal surface of the pleurotergites into transverse sections is not unusual and is found in a number of unrelated taxa, including the archipolypod Palaeodesmus tuberculata Wilson and Anderson, 2004, and extant polydesmid Polydesmus, which have transverse furrows. In both of those taxa, however, the surface of the metazonite is also subdivided by longitudinal furrows. A single transverse dorsal furrow crosses the pleurotergite of H. wilsonae.

The body ring of the Luoping millipede is most like that of callipodids and chordumatids in that the sterna are not fused to the pleurotergite. Segment number of the Luoping millipede (39)
Mesozoic millipedes

Mesozoic millipedes have been described, noted, and/or illustrated in a number of publications, beginning in the mid 1850s. These reported occurrences are reviewed below to put the occurrence of *Sinosoma* n. gen. into context. This brief review updates the reviews of Mesozoic millipedes in Shear et al. (2009), Shear and Edgecombe (2010), and Edgecombe (2015).

A number of Triassic millipedes have been previously noted, although only two have been named and described in detail. These are *Tomiulus angulatus* Martynov, 1936, and *Hannibaliulus wilsonae* Shear et al., 2009. *Tomiulus angulatus*, found in Siberia, is a julimorph-like millipede. It was diagnosed by Sharov (1962), based on Martynov (1936), and subsequently redescribed by Dzik (1981), who assigned it to the family *Xyloiulidae* Cook, 1895. This specimen is preserved in lateral view, with only the pleurotergites preserved. Reexamination of the holotype at the Paleontological Institute Moscow shows that its pleurotergites are terminated ventrally by a distinct, rounded rim (JTH, personal observation, 1998), a feature indicated in Sharov’s (1962, p. 23) diagnosis (see translation by Dzik, 1981, p. 397). This implies that the sternites were not fused to the pleurotergites. Ozopores appear to be lacking. The lack of preserved sternites in the holotype of *Tomiulus* also indicates that the sternites and pleurotergites were unfused. Furthermore, it is likely that the sternites were narrow. The *Xyloiulidae*, as diagnosed by Wilson (2006, p. 639), include elongate, cylindrical millipedes with ozopores, short legs, and ornamentation composed of longitudinal striations ranging from parallel to slightly sinuousoid in orientation. As Shear et al. (2009) have pointed out, only the lattermost character is present in *Tomiulus*, so its identity...
as a xiloilid cannot be confirmed, although it is certainly likely that this form was also elongate, cylindrical, and juliform-like in general configuration.

Additional millipedes have, however, been noted and illustrated, but not formally described or named, from Triassic rocks. These include juliform millipedes from the Lower Triassic of Bethulie and Bergville, South Africa, noted several decades ago by Kitching (1977, p. 9) and Lawrence (1984, p. 134). Lawrence described them as gregarious juvenile juliform millipedes. Reisz and Laurin (1991, fig. 1) identified similar forms found in association with the skeletons of the procolophonid *Owenetta* as millipede-like arthropods, and interpreted these arthropods as scavengers. Subsequently, Groenewald and Kitching (1995, p. 37) listed millipedes comparable to extant *Gymnostreptus Brölemann*, 1902 (a spirrostreptid in the superorder Juliformia) as occurring in the *Lystrosaurus Assemblage Zone* of the Beaufort beds (earliest Triassic) of South Africa. More recent mentions include those of Reisz and Scott (2002, fig. 1), who again illustrated what appear to be juliform millipedes. These same millipedes were subsequently illustrated in color by MacRae (1999, p. 195). Retallack et al. (2003, p. 1142) noted that the specimens previously reported from Bethulie were comparable to extant *Gymnostreptus*, a spirrostreptid. More recently, Abdala et al. (2006, p. 507, fig. 3D) noted and illustrated what they identified as a probable juliform millipede preserved with tetrapods in a carbonate concretion (collected by B.J. Kitching) from the Bethulie area. They did not observe any limbs on the millipede, which they interpreted as sharing a burrow with *Owenetta* (Abdala et al., 2006, p. 511). Based on the published comments and the published illustrations of these forms, it is probably safe to state that these are juliform-like millipedes.

A supposed millipede body-fossil impression has been described from the Triassic of Utah (Mickelson et al., 2006), but Lerner et al. (2007) have disputed its identity as a millipede body fossil. This leaves two groups of Triassic millipedes: juliform-like millipedes and millipedes that are at least similar to nematophorans.

The Jurassic record consists of the enigmatic form *Decorotergum warrenae* Jell, 1983. This form, which is rounded in cross-section with clear prozonites and metazonites, has been accepted by recent authors as a chilognath millipede, although without support of an original assignment as an oncosmorchid or a polydesmid (Shear et al., 2009; Edgecombe, 2015). Even its identity as a millipede is in need of confirmation; some of the ventral terminations of the pleurotergites appear to be arched dorsally. There are a number of examples of misidentification of millipedes as other taxa, and vice versa (see Hannibal, 2001).

Cretaceous forms, however, have a decidedly modern look to them. These include polyxenids (Duy-Jacquemin and Azar, 2004; Rasnitsyn and Golovatch, 2004), colobognaths (Wilson, 2006, fig. 4; Carlsson, 2007), spirobolids (Dzik, 1975), siphoniulids (Liu et al., 2017), and a polydesmid (Wilson, 2006, fig. 4). Of these, only the polyxenids, spirobolids, and siphoniulids have been well studied. The polyxenids (three genera) have been referred to families that include extant forms. The colobognaths, one noted as a polyzoniid with siphonophorid characters, have not been described in detail. *Gobiulus Dzik*, 1975, is a spirobolid that Shelley and Floyd (2014, p. 24–25; but see also Shear et al., 2009, p. 10–11) assigned to an extant subfamily. Polydesmids were first noted as occurring in the Cretaceous by Wilson (2006), and subsequently illustrated without descriptions from Myanmar (Xia et al., 2015, p. 151). The siphoniulids, including two new species of genus *Siphoniulus* from Myanmar (Liu et al., 2017), have morphology similar to that of recent species in Central America, and this discovery satisfies the viewpoint of the Siphoniulida as a “declining” order (Golovatch, 2015) or living relic (Shelley and Golovatch, 2011). Recently, however, a new Cretaceous millipede fauna has been noted by Poyato-Ariza and Buscalioni (2016), and Selden and Shear (2016) assigned the millipedes from this fauna tentatively to the superfamily Xyloiloidea, which does not include extant taxa.

Fritsch (1910, p. 6–7, pl. 4, figs. 9–13) also described Cretaceous myriapods from Bohemia, including specimens he identified as a (?)glomerid and a julid. He did not name these taxa, and these specimens are poorly preserved and difficult to interpret. Of these, the specimen of the supposed glomerid is the most millipede-like. These Cretaceous specimens are more poorly preserved than the specimens he described from the Gaskohle of Bohemia, and Fritsch’s illustrations of the Czech Cretaceous material are not as accurate as are those of the material of the Gaskohle, which, in turn, are not very accurate (JTH, personal observation, 1984, 1993). Based on the original description and illustrations, the species *Xylobius mexicanus* Mullerried, 1942 from the Upper Cretaceous of Mexico, may be an authentic millipede. The specimen is missing however, so its identity cannot be confirmed. Excluded from consideration here is *Julopsoiscretacea Heer*, 1874, a Cretaceous form interpreted as a julid by Scudder (1886, p. 18), which Hoffman (1969, p. R605) excluded from the Diplopoda. Also excluded is *Calciphilus*, a Cenozoic millipede that a number of authors, including Hoffman (1969, p. R604) correctly included in the Diplopoda, but erroneously listed as being Cretaceous (see McKe [1946] for a description of the deposit).

Based on the sparse fossil record of the Mesozoic, it appears that was only in the Cretaceous that the Diplopoda took on a modern aspect.

**Paleoecological implications**

Millipedes, along with conifers, are clear terrestrial components of the predominantly marine Luoping biota. Based on the preservation of conifer remains, Hu et al. (2011, p. 2278) hypothesized that conifers were transported ~10 km into the Luoping Basin. Comparisons can be made with other biotas that have mixed marine and terrestrial components. Fossil millipedes are a small component, for instance, of the Essex fauna of Mazon Creek (Baird and Anderson, 1997; Hannibal, 1997, p. 173; Hannibal, 2000, p. 30), which has been interpreted as being marginal marine, consisting of predominantly marine organisms capable of tolerating changes in salinity (Baird et al., 1985; Baird, 1997). And the Triassic *Hannibaliuluswilsoniae* is from a brackish-water facies that has yielded marine or marginal marine organisms including lingulid brachiopods and limulids (Shear et al., 2009, p. 2), two groups that are also found in the Luoping biota. The Luoping biota has a stronger marine influence than have these other facies, however. The assumed transportation distances to the basin are not extreme for millipedes. Distribution of extant millipedes shows that millipedes...
have been able to colonize land along long distances of open ocean. A premier example is the colonization of the Hawaiian Islands by various millipedes (Shelley and Golovatch, 2011, p. 33, 68), presumably by rafting. The paucity of millipedes in the Luoping biota contrasts with the more common occurrence of millipedes in some assemblages with a nonmarine origin, for instance in the Cretaceous site of Las Hoyaos, Spain, where millipedes are more numerous, but still only a small proportion of the fauna (Selden and Shear, 2016).
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